

**REMARKS**

In an Official Action dated October 3, 2006 , the Examiner rejected the pending claims as anticipated or obvious over a number of references. Applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the rejection in light of the following discussion.

As previously discussed with the Examiner, Muellenberg 5,067,847 discloses a device that operates differently than the device disclosed in the application. Although Applicant believes that the claims as previously presented distinguish Applicant's device from the devices in the cited references, the claims have been further amended to further recite some of the distinguishing features.

For instance, as discussed with the Examiner, the device in Mullenberg does not operate such that turning the nut in a first direction causes the minor diameter of the outer sleeve to be displaced toward the major diameter of the inner sleeve to tighten the device and such that turning the nut in a second direction causes the minor diameter of the outer sleeve to be displaced away from the major diameter of the inner sleeve to loosen the device.

Accordingly, in light of the amendments to the claims, Applicant requests that the Examiner reconsider the rejection of claims 1 and 9 as anticipated by or obvious in light of Muellenberg.

Further, claim 24 has been amended to further recite the differences between Applicant's device and the device in Soussloff 4,600,334. For instance, Soussloss incorporates a device having an outer sleeve, and intermediate sleeve and an internal sleeve. Claim 24 has been amended to recite that the outer sleeve engages the machine element and the outer sleeve directly engages the inner sleeve. Claim 24 further recites that rotating the nut in a first direction causes the nut to displace the outer sleeve rearwardly relative to the machine element to tighten the device, and

rotating the nut in a second direction causes the nut to displace the outer sleeve forwardly relative to the machine element to loosen the device. In contrast, the device in Soussloff does not have a nut that engages the outer sleeve; rather the nut engages the intermediate sleeve, which does not engage the machine element.

In light of the foregoing, Applicant believes that this application is in form for allowance. The Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney if the Examiner believes that issues remain regarding the allowability of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN  
A Professional Corporation  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By



Stephen H. Eland  
PTO Registration No. 41,010

Telephone: (215) 563-4100  
Facsimile: (215) 563-4044



## FEE TRANSMITTAL

### Complete if known

Application Number: 10/693,195

Filing Date: October 24, 2003

First Named Inventor: Geib

Group Art Unit: 3679

Examiner Name: Garcia, Ernesto

Total Amt. of Payment: (1)+(2)+(3)= **\$1,120**

Attorney Docket Number: 0241-P03282US0

### METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)

1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to:

- ☐ Charge indicated fees  
☒ Charge additional fees  
☒ Credit overpayments

to the account of DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN  
Deposit Account Number 04-1406

2. Payment enclosed:

Check in the amount of **\$1,120**

### FEE CALCULATION

#### 1. FILING FEE

##### Fee Description

Utility filing fee \_\_\_\_\_  
Design filing fee \_\_\_\_\_  
Plant filing fee \_\_\_\_\_  
Reissue filing fee \_\_\_\_\_

Fee

SUBTOTAL (1) **\$0**

### FEE CALCULATION (continued)

#### ADDITIONAL FEES

##### Fee Description

##### Fee Paid

Surcharge-late filing fee or oath \_\_\_\_\_  
Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet \_\_\_\_\_  
Extension for response within third month 1020 \_\_\_\_\_  
Notice of Appeal \_\_\_\_\_  
Filing a brief in support of an appeal \_\_\_\_\_  
Request for oral hearing \_\_\_\_\_  
Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned appl. \_\_\_\_\_  
Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned appl. \_\_\_\_\_  
Issue fee \_\_\_\_\_  
Petitions to the Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_  
Petitions related to provisional applications \_\_\_\_\_  
Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt. \_\_\_\_\_  
Recording each patent assignment per property \_\_\_\_\_  
Other fee (specify) \_\_\_\_\_

SUBTOTAL (3) **\$1,020**

#### 2. Claims

		Paid	Extra		Fee
Total Claims	26	-24	= 2	x 50	= 100
Independent Claims	3	-3	= 0	x 200	= 0
Multiple Dependent (First presentation)					

SUBTOTAL (2) **\$100**

04/10/2007 WASFAW1 00000030 10693195

02 FC:1253

1020.00 00

Submitted By: Stephen H. Eland

Reg. Number 41,010

Signature

Date April 3, 2007

Deposit Account User ID  
04-1406